

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

07/26/82

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
06/253+698	04/1.3/81.	NETRAVAL.I		À	18-5	
E. E. HOLLANDER			[E>	CAMINER	
BELL TELEFHONE LABS. INC.				COLES , E.		
600 MOUNTAIN MURRAY HILL:			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
1 1773 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4				233	3	

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined. Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made fi	nal.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire Three month(s), Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandone		
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	•	
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892 2. Notice of Info	rmal Patent Drawing, PTO-948	
	rmal Patent Application, Form PTO-152	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 5		
1. \(\times \) Claims \(\sum_{\text{claims}} \)	are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from considerat	ion.
2. Claims	have been cancelled.	
3. Claims	are allowed.	
4. \(\int \text{Claims} \) \(\frac{1-6}{10} \) \(\frac{13-13}{22-24} \)	are rejected.	
5. X Claims 7-9, 11-12, 19-21	are objected to.	
6. Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirem	ent.
7. The formal drawings filed on	are acceptable.	
8. The drawing correction request filed on	has been approved. disapproved.	
9. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified of	copy has	-
been received. Inot been received. In been filed in parent application, se	erial no	
filed on	·	
10. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matte cordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is closed in ac-	
11. Other	•	

PTOL-326 (rev. 7-79)

- 1. The invention resides in the fact that an intensity element is needed or located in a field or frame not transmitted between two transmitted fields or frames. In order to locate the same intensity elements of an object in motion, it is necessary to know the displacement function of the object in the two transmitted fields or frames. In view of the displacement functions of the transmitted fields or frames, the non-transmitted field or frame can be reproduced with special emphasis being directed to the location of the object in motion for interpolation thereof.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 10, 13-18, 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Netravali et al. Although, the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of Title 35 U.S.C., the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
- 3. Since applicant is well aware of the cited reterence, a detailed explanation will not be made at this time, except although the frame between the transmitted frames are not shown the same operation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the teachings of Netravali et al.
- 4. Claims 7-9, 11-12 and 19-21 contain allowable subject matter but are objected to as depending from a

rejected base claim. If rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim(s) from which they depend, they would be considered allowable.

The Ninomiya et al reference is cited for its motion-compensation interframe coding technique.

ELColes/bwb

703/557-2875

6/24/82

HOBERT L. GRIFFIN / HSORY PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 233